

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,092	01/15/2002	Philippe Lecour	PET-1981	1340	
23599 73	590 03/22/2004		EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WI	HITE, ZELANO & BRA	GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN			
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	IDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201	1764			
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 17		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/045,09	2	LECOUR ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Walter D.	Griffin	1764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 January 200	<u>2</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-20 is/are pending in	the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ⊠ ·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the compact to by the control of the contr							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
, —			•					
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	ments have bee	n received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)		•					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	•				
•	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		· ` ` ` ` `	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
•	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/15/02</u> .	נסטוטסן	6) Other:	The second of th	,			

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 2 and 18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 2 is objected to because it does not further limit claim 1. The chromium range in claim 2 includes values outside of the chromium range contained in claim 1.

Claim 18 is objected to because it does not further limit claim 17. The chromium range in claim 18 includes values outside of the chromium range contained in claim 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 and 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted element is the iron component of the claimed alloy. It appears as if the steel must contain iron but the claims do not include this element.

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chesseret et al. (US 6,056,917).

The Chesseret reference discloses an austenitic stainless steel with a composition comprising less than 0.1% carbon, between 0.1 and 1% silicon, between 5 and 9% manganese, between 0.1 and 2% nickel, between 13 and 19% chromium, between 1 and 4% copper, between 0.1 and 0.4% nitrogen, less than 2% molybdenum, less than 0.05% phosphorus, less than 0.01% sulfur, and between 0.0005 and 0.005% boron. See col. 1, line 31 through col. 2, line 11 and col. 4, lines 13-21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1764

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chesseret et al. (US 6,056,917) in view of Speidel (WO 01/00897).

As discussed above, the Chesseret reference discloses a steel having the claimed composition.

The Chesseret reference does not disclose an article that is at least partially coated with the steel.

The Speidel reference discloses that austenitic steel can be applied only as a layer such as by plating. See column 6, lines 21-27.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the article disclosed by Chesseret by forming an article containing the steel as only a surface layer as suggested by Speidel because such an article is effective for particular applications.

Art Unit: 1764

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-9 and 14-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is that the prior art of record does not disclose the use of the claimed steel in a petrochemical process.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not relied upon disclose various types of steel.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter D. D. Walter D. Griffin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1764

WG March 15, 2004